

REMARKS

The allowance of all claims either than claims 24 and 28 has been noted with appreciation.

Claim 28 has been amended to recite that the dielectric duplexer comprises the dielectric filter of claim 27. As a result of this correction of an obvious error, it is respectfully submitted that claim 28 is now in condition to be allowed and the rejection under 35 USC 112 should be withdrawn.

Claim 24 was stated to be rejected because it includes claim 28 which in turn is related to claim 27. It is believed that this rejection was based on the obvious error in claim 28 which has now been corrected. Claim 24 is directed to a communication apparatus which includes the dielectric duplexer of claim 28 and the dielectric duplexer includes the dielectric filter of claim 27. It is therefore respectfully submitted that claim 24 satisfies the requirement of 35 USC 112 and the rejection should be withdrawn.

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that this case is now in condition to be allowed and the early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited.

Dated: July 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By Edward A. Meilman
Edward A. Meilman

Registration No.: 24,735
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &
OSHINSKY LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
41st Floor
New York, New York 10036-2714
(212) 835-1400
Attorneys for Applicant